UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,991	05/20/2004	Rocco Burgo	I0113-38U1	8754
	7590 07/29/200 EENBERG P.C.	EXAMINER		
8 PENN CENT	<del></del>	CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA		
1628 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD. 15TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103	1611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/8	349,991	BURGO, ROCCO	)			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Laks	shmi S. Channavajjala	1611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE M may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply is specified above, the maximum s hin the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE ( s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNICA n no event, however, may a repl or and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since thi	ive to communication(s) filon is <b>FINAL</b> .  s application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action for allowance e	n is non-final. cept for formal matter	•	e merits is			
Disposition of Cla	iims							
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-14 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-14 is/are rejected. 1-15 is/are objected to. 1-15 are subject to restri	are withdrawn fro						
<u></u>								
10) The draw Applicant Replacem	ification is objected to by the ing(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to the first terms of the first terms are th	e: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawir g the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	, ,			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) D Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review ( osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date		Paper No(s)/N	rmal Patent Application				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of amendment to the specification and remarks dated 4-9-08 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-14 are pending in the instant application.

## **Priority**

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has complied with the conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e).

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4-9-08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argue that "Siegfried '961 is directed to polyester based sunscreen formulations containing functionalized polyesters and at least two organic active sunscreen ingredients. None of the polyesters disclosed in Siegfried '961 has the specific structure of Formula I as claimed. With respect the argument, '961 teaches that the polyester is a reaction product of at least one diol, one diacid and a functionalized polyol or polyester. '961 further states that the polymer is a glycerin, diethylene glycol and adipate (see col. 2). The polyesters thus read on the instant claimed polyol polyesters and applicants have not shown how the polyol polyesters of '961 are different from the instant claimed. It is argued that Siegfried '961 has not identified the disclosed polyesters as having use as a replacement for low viscosity silicone fluids in personal care compositions, even though the examples of Siegfried '961 do not include a low viscosity silicone fluid, Siegfried '961 does not teach or suggest expressly that low

Page 3

Art Unit: 1611

viscosity silicone fluids should be omitted. It is argued that the invention as claimed is directed to compositions to replace the lower viscosity silicone fluid in conventional personal care composition or methods of preparing personal care products while retaining the skin feel and consistency attributes of personal care products that contain low viscosity silicone fluids. Moreover, a person of skill in the art would have had no apparent reason to modify either of the Siegfried to arise at the invention as claimed. Applicants' arguments are not persuasive because instant claims require that the composition be free of low viscosity silicone fluids, which is met by the teachings of '961. For the claimed replacement, even though '961 does not teach the limitation, '961 does not teach inclusion of such silicone and thus the teachings of '961 meet the structural limitations of instant claims and further would achieve the same benefits With respect to optimization argued, argued by applicants, irrespective of whether the references recognizes the benefits.

### Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -5.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila G. Landau can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611 July 25, 2008